IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 16TH OCTOBER 2007 / 24TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 5483 of 2004(C)

PETITIONER:

M.K. MOIDEEN HAJI, S/O. LATE ALI HAJI,
MYLAKKATHUKANDI HOUSE, UNNIKULAM P.O.,
CALICUT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. UNNIKULAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH REP. BY
ITS SECRETARY, KOZHIKODE.

2. THE SECRETARY, UNNIKULAM GRAMA
PANCHAYATH, KOZHIKODE.

3. ABDUL SALIM, S/O. KOYAMU,
UMMINIKUNNUMMEL HOUSE, UNNIKULAM P.O.,
KOZHIKODE.

4. ABDURAHIMAN, S/O. KOYAMU,
RESIDING DO.

R3-R4 BY ADV. SRI.P.K.RAMKUMAR
R1-R2 BY ADV.SRI.B.V.JOY SANKER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX(WPC 5483/04)

PETITIONER'S EXTS.:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SENT BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 22.12.2003.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SENT BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 27.1.2004.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD AND 4TH RESPONDENTS DATED 28.1.04.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

W.P.(C) No.5483 of 2004

- -

Dated: 16th October, 2007

JUDGMENT

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of Ext.P3 the Panchayat is allowing respondents 3 and 4 to continue with the unauthorised tea shop. Mr.P.K.Ramkumar, counsel for the party respondents submits that the party respondents on receiving notice from this court actually stopped the business and even removed the shop in which the business was being conducted. The above stand of Mr.P.K.Ramkumar is endorsed by the counsel for the Panchayat also. In view of the submissions of Mr.P.K.Ramkumar and the counsel for the Panchayat, this Writ Petition will stand disposed of without granting reliefs.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**